

# Full-Stack Quantum Computing

Each problem in this homework has a hand-written portion and a corresponding jupyter notebook for problem 2. The jupyter notebook can be downloaded [here](#). You can run the notebook online at [quantum-computing.ibm.com](https://quantum-computing.ibm.com) since Datahub doesn't have the qiskit package so these notebooks won't work there. If you need help on how to download your notebook to this platform, refer to the [instructions from the other organization I teach for](#).

## 1 Measurement

As we learned in lecture, a qubit can be modeled by a two-state system

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

And has to follow the condition that

$$\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = [\bar{\alpha} \quad \bar{\beta}] \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = \bar{\alpha} \cdot \alpha + \bar{\beta} \cdot \beta = |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$$

We can be more specific about this general state  $|\psi\rangle$  by modelling it as a function of two variables  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ . The angles  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  correspond to rotations on the [Bloch Sphere](#).

$$|\psi\rangle = \cos\theta|0\rangle + e^{i\phi}\sin\theta|1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta \\ e^{i\phi}\sin\theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

*If you're wondering how you'd get  $i$  on  $|0\rangle$ , good question! You can refer [here](#) to why we don't model  $|\psi\rangle$  in this way*

Now, we are going to show that this model holds to the condition we defined above!

(a) Prove that the inner product of  $|\psi\rangle$  in (1) is equal to 1. AKA Show that:

$$\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 1$$

(b) The reason that we stipulate  $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 1$  is because that means the sum of measuring all the states that our qubit can be in equals 1. So for (1) the probability of measuring  $|0\rangle$  is  $\cos^2\theta$  and probability of measuring 1 is  $\sin^2\theta$ . This property leads us to a very easy way to understand the probability of measuring a state given a ket. Remember the values  $\cos\theta$  and  $e^{i\phi}\sin\theta$  are *amplitudes*, and the amplitudes conjugate squared (i.e.  $\bar{\alpha} \cdot \alpha = |\alpha|^2$ ) is the probability of measuring the corresponding state. So for a qubit state like

$$|\Phi\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix}$$

The probability of measuring  $|00\rangle$ ,  $|01\rangle$ ,  $|10\rangle$ , and  $|11\rangle$  is,

$$P(00) = |a|^2 \quad P(01) = |b|^2 \quad P(10) = |c|^2 \quad P(11) = |d|^2$$

Now, what must the probabilities given add up to in order for them to make sense? In other words, what must  $\langle\Phi|\Phi\rangle$  add up to? Why? *Don't overthink this lol*

## 2 Tensor Product Practice

Represent the following tensor products in their vector form. i.e.

$$|-i\rangle = |-\rangle \otimes |i\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ -1 \\ -i \end{bmatrix}$$

*Notice: when “-” is in a ket, it is the minus ket, not the minus sign!  $-|i\rangle \neq |-i\rangle$*  Then, evaluate the probability for measuring each of the states. i.e.

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \\ -1 \\ -i \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -i \\ -1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So there is a 1/4 probability of measuring any of the four states  $|00\rangle$ ,  $|01\rangle$ ,  $|10\rangle$ ,  $|11\rangle$ . Do this analysis on the following states:

- (a)  $|01\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |1\rangle$
- (b)  $|10\rangle = |1\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$
- (c)  $|+0\rangle = |+\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$
- (d)  $| -+\rangle = |-\rangle \otimes |+\rangle$
- (e)  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |01\rangle)$
- (f)  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+11\rangle + |+10\rangle) = |+\rangle \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|11\rangle + |10\rangle)$

Now, make circuits that evaluate to these states and verify your results in the notebook!

*Note: When measuring Probabilities in Qiskit using the histogram you won't see a perfect probability spectrum since measurement works by taking many measurements and counting their results. As long as your results are similar to the ones calculate, you should be good!*